

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/767,599	01/30/2004		Bernardo Ayala	BA01-01U	5201
37038	7590	09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
BUHLER A	ASSOCIA	ATES	ROYAL, PAUL		
BUHLER, K	IRK A.				
1101 CALIF	ORNIA A	VE.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 208			3611		
CORONA,	CA 9288	1			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,599	AYALA, BERNARDO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul Royal	3611		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Royal	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence add	7055
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	1633
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rej		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 12-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10 and 11.	will not be entered, or b) ui		_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N LESLEY D. MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TO SHOULD	,oui	

Application No. 10/767,599

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation of the light source specifically supplementing the ambient light raises a new issue which will require further searching.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argument that the claimed invention is combined with a solar charging system is not reflected in the claims. The claims merely require a solar charged power source and the battery of the applied reference Warner (US 5,313,724), consistent with applicant's specification which uses a battery as a power source, is a power source capable of being charged from solar power. Applicant appears to be arguing the invention includes a "solar charging system" which is not what has been claimed.